ferences 193... File No. 35 32

(Muzzim) Serial Nos. 5—13.

nces 34-1-2-

2-34 1—5—

39-35-142--

e/L 223 785

RAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

59-36-2

50-39—5-00.

Serial Nos.

Part B for bruary 34

SUBJECT.

al and Revenue Secretariat | Muz File No. 35 of 1932.

Serial No.

Petition dated 18.2.33 from Mr Magadi Karnick Krishnamurthy Rao, praying for reconsideration of the orders already passed in the matter of taking over by Govt the management of his properties set apart for the Pathasala started by him.

Dewan's orders on the margin petition.

"Muz Secy for favour of a note. Is it possible to comply with Mr Krishnamurthi's request"

Intd M.I.

21.2.33

2) Papers containing Council resolution of 10.4.30 are put up.

The question came up for reconsideration in 1932. The D.C. Bangalore Dt was agreeable to be the official trustee on the board of management; but the M.C. was of opinion that it was not right to harass the D.C. with private litigation attendant on the management of a private trust. Besides the collection of revenue from inam villages was attended with a good deal of trouble. The M.C's view deserves acceptance.

If approved, Mr Krishnamurthy's letter will be forwarded to the present M.C^r for opinion, now that it is stated that the difficulties which existed in the past have been surmounted.

Subd for orders

25/2/33

The request of Mr Karnik Krishnamurthi Rao is in the alternative (1) either to take over the management of the properties endowed by him to the Pathasala temporarily until the lands are disposed of or (2) at least to permit the D.C. B'lore to be one of the members on the Board of Management of the Institution

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 —GPB—15,000—22-8-32

Secret File No. 35 of 1932

General and Secretariat

3 DEC 1933
No. 5666.

as an official Trustee.

As regards (1) kind reference is invited to the final note of the Joint Member (Flag A) in Secy File No 203-29 Sec 1-3 post up and the Council Resolution

As regards (2) kind reference is invited to Mr's letter dt 1.8.32 (Flag C) and the Joint Member's order at para 21 of the notes in Secy File No. 35-32 Sec 1-4 post up.

DEWAN'S OFFICE 5119 1933

Kind reference is also invited to the Dewan's Minute dt 9.9.32 (Flag G) and the office note post up explaining the circs and the final order of the Dewan.

28/2/33

Joint Secy)

1st Asst)

2-3-33

2/3

(1) Both the requests of Mr. Krishnamurthi Rao were examined and it was not found possible to comply with them. His property mostly consists of Inam villages and their management by Government will not be satisfactory. He has appointed Trustees for the administration of his properties and his school, and it is they who will have to manage the Inam villages. His second proposal is that the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore might be permitted to be one of the Trustees, and the objections to this arrangement are explained in the Luzrai Commissioner's letter dated 29th July 1932 (flag L.).

Dewan)

6/3

6/3/33

6/3/33

Serial No. 11

Draft issued
no
15-3-33

Fri Seiny)

1st For approval
SS
15/3/33

Hon
15/3.
Hon
16/3

To. Mr. Kamick Krishnamurti Rao.
No. 1359 of 20/3/33.
States that it is not possible to reconsider previous orders.

Petition of 18/5/33 from Mr. Krishnamurti Rao requesting Govt to resume over the management of the Patamda and the temple and the lands endowed to them.

Mr. Krishnamurti Rao has already been informed in this office letter No. 1359 of 20/3/33 that Govt. cannot resume over the management of the endowment.

Submit for orders,
no
15/3/33

4. The present representation of Mr. Kamick Krishnamurti is somewhat different from what he had prayed for in the first instance in as much as he is prepared to give away the properties absolutely to Govt together with the Patamda for management the only condition of his being that Mirasi Brahmin

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 —GPB—15,000—22-8-32

Brahmacharis below 12 years of age may be kept in the Patasala and trained for Puja & Apasankarma - The present request of Mr K. Krishnamurti deserves Consideration.

28/5/33

H Secy)

2nd Mr)

31/5

31/5

Office of the Second Member of Council, 2 JUNE 1933 No. 8175

Are any similar private endowments under Govt management?

Spr

3/6 N

5/6

Submd

No private endowment is under Govt. management. Enquiries were also made by this office in the matter and the same reply was received from them.

Submd

11 Member)

12/6/33

The A reply may be given it is not possible to comply with his request. One further point is that action if any is to come under the Hindu Religious Endowments Act

H Secy

15/6

Office of the Second Member of Council, 9 JUNE 1933 No. 8370

Serial No. 21

Draft endorsement recd. no. 417 22/7/33

Letter no. 27 G.8/7/33 to Mr. K. Krishnamurthy Rao. informing him that it is impossible to comply with his request

D.O. no. 51 dt 1st Aug. 1933 from the P.S. to H.H. the Maharaja in the matter.

27 Note submitted as directed

28 Mar) 4.8.33 4/8

I have suggested a draft reply for consideration

Dewan 6/8

DEV. 6098 7 AUG. 193

Office of the Second Member of Council. 7 AUG. 1933 No. 51

Mr. Krishnamurthi Rao has established an educational institution and asks for the assistance of Government in ~~providing~~ arranging for its permanent and efficient maintenance. He has no doubt appointed a Committee of Trustees but he does not feel assured that the permanent interests of the institution can be safely left in its hands. He states - vide his petition dated 18th May 1933 - that his health is failing and that he is therefore anxious that the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

manangement of the school established by him and of the properties endowed for its maintenance should be taken over by Government. In view of the circumstances of the case, I think it is desirable to further examine it with a view to see if it is possible to comply with Mr. Krishnamurthi Rao's request.

The properties endowed by him consist of two inam villages and some Vritties in another village in the Magadi Taluk and a house in Bangalore. There are also references in his previous petitions to some cash endowments by himself and by his daughter. There will be no difficulty in regard to the management of the endowment property in the form of house or money. The position is however different in regard to the inam villages and the Vritties. There have been differences between Mr. Krishnamurthy Rao and his tenants but the former states in his petition dated 18th February 1933 that all these difficulties have been solved. It is no doubt undesirable to accept responsibility for the administration of a property which might involve litigation. If, however, the differences between Mr. Krishnamurthy Rao and his tenants have as stated by the former all been really settled and if the responsibility of Government in respect of the villages and the Vritties is limited to the realisation of assessments or guttas due, there need, I think, be no objection to take over the land endowment also under Government management. It is, of course, necessary to investigate fully

Serial No.

whether the position in regard to this matter is as represented by Mr. Krishnamurthy Rao in his petition dated 18th February 1933 and whether there is no likelihood of Government being involved in litigation by accepting responsibility for the management of the villages and Vritties.

whether Mr. Krishnamurthy Rao has full rights to make a gift of his properties is another point for enquiry

2. It is best eventually to dispose of the villages and the Vritties and make the whole of the income-yielding endowment a financial investment. This is also the desire of Mr. Krishnamurthy Rao. If Government are given full powers of disposal of the villages and the Vritties, their sale might be arranged for as favourable opportunities offer. The alternative might also be considered of cancelling the inam tenure of the lands and dealing with them as Government lands.

3. The final request of Mr. Krishnamurthy Rao is not limited by any conditions and he is apparently prepared to give Government unfettered powers for disposing of the landed properties included in the endowment and for the management of the institution and its endowment. If the income from the endowment is insufficient for educating 30 boys, there should obviously be a reduction in their number and Mr. Krishnamurthy Rao will certainly agree to this condition.

If the Second Member agrees, the case may be further examined on the lines suggested above and Sir Charles Todhunter informed in reply to his letter dated the 1st instant that a final reply would be sent after this examination is completed.

Office of the Second Member of Council. 8 AUG. 1933 No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-S-34

Member)

File No.

of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

Further enquiry made may be made as suggested in the Dewan's minute (I may say however that such enquiries appear to have been made before & I am not very sanguine if any fresh facts would be brought out)

Dewan)

A/10/0
A/1918
2/10/0

Do S. 2m
With one file

THE PALACE,
MYSORE.
14th August 1933.

749
18-8-33

15. AUG. 1933

My dear Krishna Rao,

I am desired to thank you for your d.o. letter No.95 of the 10th instant regarding Mr. Karnik Krishnamurthy Rao's request to Government to take over the management of the properties he proposes to endow to his Vaidika Dharma Patasala, and to say that His Highness the Maharaja will await the result of the further enquiries that the Government are instituting. I have advised Mr. Krishnamurthy Rao that the Government are making further enquiries into the matter in the light of his latest requests and that the question of an interview does not arise at present.

I return the file herewith.

Yours sincerely,

Forwarded with Compliments to Secretary member

Secy. Head Asstt. may please advise the matter to be treated as urgent when enquiries are made

Submitted with a draft

Recd 16/8/33

For approval
16/8/33

16. AUG. 1933
GEN. & REV. SECT

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 -GPB—15,000—22-8-32

Mus 3 File No. 35 of 1932 | General and Revenue Secretariat

No 213 & 161 & 33 to the Muzrai Commissioner calling for a report on the petition of Sri Kanuri Krishna Moorthy Rao on the lines indicated therein.

4

Fwd ✓ 16/7

(Cypher No 3521 dated 4.9.1933 | 6
from the Secretary, Bihar city Corporation
Board to the Govt
requesting to be informed whether the
Muzrai rights obtained by the Trust
in the Bihar city Corporation
Board if so to what extent the Trust includes
this in case the Govt resolve to
close Basalbangadi, which are mortgaged
to the Bank as security, action taken
by him.

3) It is clarified whether the properties
proposed to be transferred for
Trust management, in Trust, by the maintenance
of the pollution at Basalbangadi include
the above properties proposed to be
mortgaged to the City Co-operative Bank. A copy of
the Bank's letter may perhaps be sent
to the Govt. An information was received
in which the investigation has
been made about the rights
etc of Sri Krishna to make a gift A

Retirement by Mr Kadrick Krishnamurthy Rao

General and Revenue Secretariat Revy File No. 35 of 1932 [App. F. III—2

Serial No.	
	of his properties, and report the result to Govt.
	Pers Dpty. 6/9 40) A- may be approved 7/9/33
	Secy) 41) This may be sent in confirmation. 7.9.33 7/9
	42) A draft to the M.C. is putup. 8/9 7/9
	11 3521 dated 16.4.1933 From the Municipal Commissioner Forwarding information called for in letter dated 4.9.1933 regarding the Co-operative City Co-operative Banks.
Secy 18/9	12 Letter No 305 dated the 25th April 1933 From the Municipal Commissioner furnishing information on the points referred to in this office letter of even no dated 16.8.1933 (Serial No 9 above).
G.	13) The Municipal Commissioner was asked to examine the points noted below and submit a detailed report in the matter. (i) Whether the position as represented by Mr. Krishna Murthy Rao in respect of the differences between him and his tenants is correct;

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

GENERAL SECTT. 12 SEP. 1933 REVENUE SECTT.

(ii) Whether there is no likelihood of Govt being involved in litigation by accepting responsibility for the management of the properties proposed to be endowed by him to the pathasala at Basavanpuri;

and

(iii) Whether Mr Krishnamurthy Rao has full rights to make a gift of them.

The Munsif Court has now submitted replies to the above points as noted below.

point (i) Jodi Sadanandachari and Mallana Lalli are in possession and enjoyment of Mr Krishna Murthy Rao, that they have been leased in by him for 5 years, that out of 15 Khatas of Kalkere village 14½ are owned by him that out of 55 ryots, 50 ryots have executed lease deeds in his favor, and that his proposal in respect of the undisputed portions of this village together with the other properties offered by him may be accepted; [From this it may be concluded perhaps that the position represented by Mr K. Rao in his petition of the 8th Feb 1933 is not incorrect]

point (ii) From Mr Krishnamurthy Rao's statement before the personal Asst to the D.C on the 21st October 1933 and the Encumbrance certificates relating to the properties in question it may be concluded that Mr K. Rao has absolute rights

Remarks by Mr Karwick Krishnamoorthy Rao

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mysore | File No. 35 of 1932 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

to make a gift of the said properties for the purpose;

(iii) From what is stated above it may be seen that the acceptance of the responsibility for the management of the said properties is not likely to involve Govt in litigation.

(4) Subd for orders with reference to paras 30–32 supra.

9/12

46) In view of the position explained by the m.e. now as detailed in the office note supra it is for orders as to what reply may be sent to Sri ch: Todhunter in reply to his letter dt. 14.8.33 to the Offg: Dewan (para 33). Perhaps the whole file may be sent to him to Q.O. Kind reference is also invited to II Member's orders at para 34 supra

8/12/33

JL Secy)

47 I heard a deputation of the trustees & some other gentlemen. 7/12/33.

48 In view of the present R.C. reply about the slim prospects of litigation etc in the Inam Villages, & the fact that the objects of the endowment are educational, 9/12

Office of the Second Member of Council. 9 DEC 1933 No. 8457.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 —GPB—15,000—22-8-32

Endowment by Mr Kamiak-Krishna

Mines File No. 35 of 1932 | General and Secretariat

we may reconsider the previous order & accept the endowment, provided the gift transfer of properties is unconditional - ~~Action may~~ This may be done under the Mines Regn to as to regularise the transfer & place the management by Govt on a proper legal footing. The file may now be Submitted to the Dewan. A report is due to the PrS to HH (vide Sir M. Krishna Rao's letter & Sir Charles reply of the 14th August)

DE[illegible] OFFICE 30 JAN. 1934 No. 485

4771

S

Dewan

Spr — 28/1

Dr 30/1/34

531

Dm 31-1-34

Dr 1/2/34

51

Submitted with a draft to the Private Secy & H.H. the Maharaja.

Secy 3/2/34

For approval

3/2/34

Secy (Secy) 2nd Chr)

Dr 3/2

Spr 6/2

Dr 5/2

Dr 6/2

Dewan

DE[illegible] OFFICE 7 FEB. 1934 No. 5270

Draft

No. 2242/Rev.1/Fee 9 8/2/34.

My dear Mr.Thumboo Chetty,

With reference to the correspondence ending with Sir Charles Todhunter's D.O. letter No.2 dated 14th August 1933 regarding Mr.Karnick Krishna Murthy Rao's request to Government to take over the management of the properties he proposes to endow to his Vaidika Dharma Patasala, Bangalore City, I write to inform you that the Muzrai Commissioner, who was asked to examine the question afresh, reports in his letter dated 25-11-1933 that Mr.Krishna Murthy Rao has full rights to make a gift of the properties and that the acceptance of the responsibility for the management of the said properties is not likely to involve Government in litigation. The Second Member of Council considers that, in view of the above report and the fact that the objects of the endowment are educational, we may reconsider the previous order and accept the endowment, provided the transfer of properties is unconditional and that this may be done under the Muzrai Regulation so as to regularise the transfer and place the management by Government on a proper legal footing. I agree in the above view and action will be taken accordingly. In the meantime, I am sending herewith the connected file for His Highness' gracious approval being obtained in the matter.

Yours sincerely,

Endowment by Mr Karnick K. Murthy

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 35 of 1932 — [App. F. III—2

Go No 51.
rect: our file

PRIVATE SECRETARY MYSORE

The Palace,
Mysore, 9th February 1934.

My dear Sir Mirza Ismail,

I am directed to return herewith the file of papers regarding Mr. Karnick Krishna Murthy's request to Government to take over the management of the properties he proposes to endow to his Vaidika Dharma Patasala, Bangalore City, and to say that His Highness approves of the action you propose to take in the matter.

Yours sincerely,
H. Hanumanthaiya Chetty

55/J. Secy
10/2
10/2.

Amin-ul-Mulk
Sir Mirza M.Ismail, Kt., C.I.E., O.B.E.,
Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 10 FEB 1934 No 5-0166.

58/Jon. 11/2 13/2

TYPING SECTION 19 FEB 1934 4-55 p.m.

57/Archt 16/2 19

G.O. is prepd. But no typed copy as usual please

19/2

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12 10-33

Re Mr. Ramch[illegible] Mar.

File No. 85 of 1932

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Typed Draft for Mr
20/2/34
H Secy
2nd (hv)

For approval
20/2/34
20/2

20/2
Dr c/ Secy
21/2/34

TYPING SECT
21. FEB. 193
GENL. & R[illegible]

1123-27 dated 21.2.1934
The M.C in Mysore
" Comptroller
" Rev. Comm in Mysore
" D.C Bangalore
" Supdt Archaeological

Accepts the endorsement of Mr Krishna Murti Rao for the maintenance of the Veerabhadra Dharma patasala started by him in Basavanapudi provides the transfer of the properties be unconditional and directs that the management of the undisputed portion of the Kalkere village and the other properties referred to above be taken over by Govt under Section 14(a) of the Regulation 1927 and requires the M.C to take action accordingly

1/2/34

notes referred to in para 23 of the Note

There are three points raised in the Private Secretary's letter :-

(1) The first is that Mr: Vernik Krishnamurti Rao has now made a clean cut request that the entire properties may be taken over and managed by Government as they think best. As regards this request, it has not been the general policy of Government to take over such institutions when they are being properly managed. Even if Section 14 (a) of the Mysore Religious & Charitable Inam Regulation permits of such assumption of management, it is not apparently advisable to take over the management of these inam Villages which may have attendant difficulties of collection and perhaps protracted litigation with the ryots etc. We are not aware whether the devolution of the property has no legal difficulties either, as they are all ancestral. Such difficulties are certain to be greater at present times than ~~ever~~ before.

(2) The second point is that Section 14 (a) ^ of the Mysore Religious & Charitable Inam Regulation permits of such assumption of management and that the great majority of Inams managed by the Board belong to individuals or groups of individuals. As regards this point, the ~~Board~~ Regulation

Regulation recognises three classes of institutions (a) Muzrai (b) Mutts (c) Non-Muzrai. t The first class of institutions are those which are in receipt of some assistance fro Government in the shape of grants (annual or monthly). As regards Mutts there are spe- xxxxxx rate provisions governing them in Chapter IV of the Regulation whil the class of private institutions referred to in -- Chapter III are those of the 2nd mentioned as non-muzrai. As regards these it has been the general policy to take ther only if they are mismanaged. In fact Govt have been divesting ourselves of the management of -- Villages belonging to Mutts which have been previously under Government management e g as Sosale Vyasarayaswami Mutt.

(3) Lastly as observed by the Private Secretary himself Government will stand committed to recurring expenditure of the trust while the income from the Villages does not suffice for this or even cover the ex- penditure of any balance of the full sum specified.

The case of the safe and necessary for containing the Mysore Official Gaz of Mr. C. Vijiaraghavachari will be sent later as it could not be found at present.

provision of suitable safeguards in respect of the Committee of Management that may be consitated at that time.

4.8.33

4/8/33

No. 2242/Eds/File 8th February 1934.

My dear Mr Thumboo Chetty,

With reference to the correspondence ending with Sir Charles Todhunter's ... letter No. 2 dated 14th August 1933, regarding Mr. Patrick Krishna Murthy Rao's request to Government to take over the management of the properties he proposes to endow to his Vaidika Dharma Patasala, Bangalore City, I write to inform you that the Murrai Commissioner, who was asked to examine the question afresh, reports in his letter dated 25th November 1933 that Mr. Krishna Murthy Rao has full rights to make a gift of the properties and that the acceptance of the responsibility for the management of the said properties is not likely to involve Government in litigation.

The Second Member of Council considers that, in view of the above report and the fact that the objects of the endowment are educational, we

Fair Draft.

may reconsider the previous order and accept the endorsement, provided the transfer of properties is unconditional and that this may be done under the Kuzrai Regulation so as to regularise the transfer and place the management by Government on a proper legal footing. I agree in the above view and action will be taken accordingly. In the meantime, I am sending herewith the connected file for His Highness's gracious approval being obtained in the matter.

Yours sincerely,

Sd. M.M.I

Rajasabhabhushana
T. Thumboo Chetty, Esq., B.A.,
Private Secretary to His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
MYSORE.

Fair draft-

(4—1]

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 636

No.

To

Mr Ramachandra Rao, M.A.H. the Manager

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

My dear Sir, Thumboo chetty,

Date of issue

Signed by 2

In the reference to the correspondence ending with Sri Charles Tothunters do. letter No. 2 dt 14-8-33 regarding Mr. Kamick Krishna Murthy Rao's request to Govt. to take over the management of the properties he proposes to endow to his Vaidika Bangalagrahara & Karma Patasala, I write to inform you that the Inc. who was asked to examine the question reports in his letter dt 25-11-33 that Mr. Krishna Murthy Rao has full right to make a gift of the properties and that

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0677—GPB—15,000—3-11-33

the acceptance of the responsibility
for the management of the
said properties is not likely to
involve Govt. in litigation.
The Second Member of Council considers that
in view of the above report
& the fact that the objects of the
endowment are educational, we
may reconsider the previous order
& accept the endowment,
provided the ~~gift~~ transfer of
properties is unconditional
& that this may be done under
the Non-Regulation Laws to
regularise the transfer & place
the management & legal title on a
proper legal footing. Papers in
the above view, and further will be taken accordingly. In the meantime,
the connected file for His Highness'
previous ~~Order~~ opinion being obtained in
the matter.

Yours sincerely

3/2/34

Dewan

3/2

Mug 35-32-5



Date 21.2.33
Petn 1621

To

Amin-ul-Mulk Sir Mirza M.Ismail, Kt.,C.I.E.,O.B.E.,

Dewan of Mysore,

BANGALORE.



Respected Sir,

43. Secy for favour a note is it possible to comply with the request.

I, the undersigned Magadi Karnick Krishna - Murti Rau, beg permission to place before you for your very favourable consideration the following prayer:

I have established a free Boarding School - known as "KARNICK'S VAIDICA DHARMA PATASALA" at Basavanguai Bangalore City, for imparting the Vedas and the connected Sanskrit lore to young Brahmana students, and have submitted my prayers to Government requesting them to help me by - taking over the management of this Institution, with a view to its being managed efficiently, and to arrange for its permanent continuance. I have further requested the Government to kindly appoint the Deputy Commissioner of the - Bangalore District as an Official Trustee of the Trust Board of this Institution.

The Muzarai Commissioner in his letter No.D2 Dis.238/32-33 dated 7th September 1932 has been pleased to state that he has been directed to inform me "that the Government are not in favour of permitting the Deputy - Commissioner to be mixed up in his official capacity in any such private Board of Trustees."

In this connection, I may be permitted to appeal to you as President in Council of His Highness's Government and submit the following for your kind consideration:

1. You have been pleased at my request to come

and inspect this Institution.

2. I have not applied to Government for monetary or other help to run this Institution.

3. I have donated all my properties worth over two lakhs of rupees to serve as a Funded Capital for running this Institution. These properties comprise three Inam villages, containing about (2,000) two thousand acres of both wet and dry lands, and a Bungalow in First Road, Basavangudi, Bangalore City.

4. If the properties are improved and the market becomes favourable, the yield from these properties may certainly go up to twelve thousand rupees.

5. These properties are in no way encumbered, either by 'kadim tenancy' or any other rights or privileges of the ryots.

6. The present yearly income from the above properties is over Rs.5,000/-.

7. It appears to me that Government has come to conclusions without making proper enquiries of the actual state of affairs existing at present.

8. Government may have in their mind the conditions of these landed properties as it existed in the beginning when there were some troubles. The said conditions no longer exist.

9. I have settled satisfactorily all disputes of whatever kind between me and the ryots and have obtained from every ryot a registered Guttige contract for three years; and - have thus freed the properties entirely of any kind of claim by the ryots; so that I guarantee entire freedom from any kind of trouble.

10. Government may be pleased to obtain from me - full explanation in satisfaction of any point about which they may have misgivings and I am prepared to discuss the same with them fully and finally.

11. Government have now under their management - Institutions like the Sivagunga Mutt, Vyasaroya Mutt and the properties like the Damodar Charities, Dalavoy family properties etc., and I cannot understand why they should be unwilling to grant a like prayer of mine.

These are temporary

12. The management I crave for is only for a temporary period until the lands are disposed or sold and when they are sold the management will be very easy. Some Trustee or a member of my family may manage the estate with the approval of the Government and when the market becomes favourable, the tenancy rights of the landed properties may be sold, and the money thus realised, vested in the Patasala. The interest which accrues from

this Funded capital will be the Kandayam on the lands of the villages and moneys obtained by selling the right of grazing, and the moneys Amaroye, along with the toddy revenue will all be available for running the - Patasala.

Under these circumstances, I humbly pray that our benevolent Government may be pleased to take into account the fact I have now placed before them and determine with the help of any other agency as to the correctness or otherwise and call for personal explanations from me if found necessary of the statements I have made herein and do me the favour of taking over the management of these properties, if they are satisfied as to the bona fides of my contention.

If even after this detailed explanation. Government are not pleased to grant the above prayer, I beg to request that they may be pleased at least to ~~con~~ re-consider their - decision and withdraw the order refusing to appoint the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore District as an Official Trustee of the Board of this Institution, and kindly sanction the appointment of that Officer as an Official member and President of the (2) Committee of Management and an Official Trustee of the Trust Board of this Institution thus helping the cause of Brahminic learning, and earn the eternal gratitude of my family for having placed my endowment on a permanent footing.

38. South Lawk Square,
Triplicane, Madras
18th Feb: 1933

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Krishna Swami Rao

UBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section M.W.Z.

File No. 35-32

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 1358

To M. K. Krishna Murthi Rao
38, South Beach Square, Triplicane
Madras

Endorsement

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 20/3/33

Signed by M. Govindakrishnappai

With reference to his petition dated 18/2/33 requesting that the two properties endowed by him to Management of the free Boarding School known as "Karnick's Vaidica Dharma Patasala" at Basavangudi Bangalore City be taken over by Govt temporarily until the lands are disposed of or to permit the Dy Comm Bangalore to be on the Board of Management of the Institution as an official trustee vice Karnick Krishna Murthi Rao to whom it is regretted to be informed that it is not possible to reconsider the orders already passed to comply with any of the requests in respect of his requests.

By order

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

15/3/33 Asst Secy to Govt

1859

Mog 35-32-6

16th March 1933.

20th

Mr. KarnickKrishnamurthi Rao,
38. South Tank Square, Triplicane, MADRAS.

With reference to his petition dated 18th February -- 1933, requesting that the management of the properties -- endowed by him to the Free Boarding School (known as "Karnick's Vaidica Dharma Patasala" at Basavangudi, Bangalore City be taken over by Government temporarily until the lands are disposed of or to permit the Deputy Commissioner, -- Bangalore to be on the Board of Management of the Institution as an Official Trustee Mr. Karnick Krishnamurthi Rao is informed that it is regreted that it is not possible to reconsider the orders already passed in respect of his -- requests.

By Order,

For Financial Secretary to Government.

TRM.2

Boarding School viz

[F G—1]

CT .....

.....

.....

Section Mag

File No. 35-32.

Serial No. 8

No. of letter replied to ....

No. 27.

To Mr. K. Krishna murti Rao
no-38. South Tank Square
Triplicane Madras.

Enclosement.

eneral and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

i issue 8/7/33

By .....

With reference to his petition dated 15/5/33 praying that the Boarding School viz "Karanieka Vaidika Dharma ~~Pathasala~~ and the properties endowed thereto be taken over and managed as a ~~managed by~~ Government here. Mr. K. Krishna murti Rao is informed that it is not possible to comply with his request.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

By order,
6/7
for Asst. Secy to G.

OVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 27

Dated, Bangalore, 6th July 1933.

ENDORSEMENT.

Mr. K. Krishnamurti Rao,
No.38, South Tank Square,
Triplicane, Madras.

With reference to his petition dated 15th May 1933 praying that the Boarding School viz., "Karanick's Vaidika Dharma Patesala" and the properties endowed thereto be taken over to Government and managed etc., Mr.K.Krishnamurti Rao is informed that it is not possible to comply with his request.

By Order,

for Financial Secretary to Government.

Vasantha Mahal,
Mysore, 27th July 1933.



9163
29. JUL. 1933



Dear Mr.Raghavachar,

Mr.Karnick Krishnamurthy Rao has come here to seek an interview with His Highness the Maharaja on the subject of his request to the Government to take over the management of his Vaidika Dharma Patasala and the properties endowed thereto. In this connection he has shown me a copy of your predecessor's letter No.27/Muz. 35-32-8 of the 6th instant informing him that it is not possible to comply with his request. I am desired by His Highness to ask you, with the permission of the Dewan, to forward me such papers as will explain the reasons why the Government were unable to meet Mr.Krishnamurthy Rao's wishes.

Yours sincerely,

The file is submitted herewith. If approved, the usual file will be sent to H.H. the Maharaja.

28/7/33

29/7/33

NB —Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

cal and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

Draft D.O. letter dated as per orders in para 24.

NO 31/7

For approval
31/7

Letter NO 113 of 31/7/33 to the P.S. to A.H. forwarding the papers

Encl:

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 —GPB—15,000—22-8-32

File No. of 193 .

General and
Secretaria

1933.

Dear Sir,

As desired in your D.O. letter No.C.20 dated 27th July 1933, I am to forward herewith the whole file of papers relating to the request of Mr. Karnick Krishnamurthy Rao referred to by you.

Yours sincerely,

To

Sir Charles Todhunter, K.C.S.I.,
Private Secretary to His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Mysore.

K.".P.2/31-7.

May [illegible] 35-32 & 5—8.

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

D.O.No. 113. Enclosures. ~~collective~~ Mss. ~~35-32~~ -1-4 file

To The Private Secy.

to H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Dear Sir

Date of issue 31-7-33

As desired in ~~with reference to~~ your

D.O. letter no. C.20 dt 27/7/33 I ~~am to forward~~ herewith the/file

Signed by A.N. Raghavachar

of papers ~~of this Office ending~~ relating to

~~with~~ Endorsement ~~No. 27 Mss 35-32-8 dt 8/7/33~~

~~returning to comply with~~ the

request of our Karnick

Krishnamurthy Rao ~~to take over~~ up to be given

the management of the various

Dharma Patasalas @ Mysore and the properties

endowed thereto.

Correspondence & notes
Mss 35-32 Sls 5-8.
Mss 35-32 Sls 1-4.
Mss Dep 123-29-1-3
Mss Dep 60-32
& Mss Diary nos 950 & 1193.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

yours Sincerely

31/7

O. No. 51/2 files.

Vasantha Mahal, 2nd Aug. 1933

Mysore, 1st August 1933.

Forwarded with Compliments to Second member for favour of early disposal. A reply to this letter has to be sent soon- mark 2/8/33 [illegible] (38

2 AUG. 1933

My dear Krishna Rao,

With reference to our conversation over the telephon- today regarding the request of Mr. Kerrak Krishnamurty Rao for an interview with His Highness the Maharaja on the subject of the transfer to Government of the management of the properties which he proposes to endow to his Vaidika Dharma Pathasala, I am writing to return the file which you kindly sent to me, and to say that I quite understand the difficulties which prevented the acceptance of his earlier requests.

The one request about which I feel some doubt is that made in his latest petition dated the 16th May 1933. In this he abandons all his former proposals in favour of a clean-cut request that Government will take over the institution and the endowments connected with it to manage as they think best. This seems to be such a request as is contemplated in Section 14(a) of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation, 1927, which provides that, at the request of the creator of a trust, the Muzrai Department may take over its management. The only reason urged against the grant of it in the file is that there is no precedent for the management by Government of a private trust. I am rather puzzled by this statement because it was my impression that the great majority of the trusts that are managed by the Muzrai Department are trusts created by individuals or groups of individuals.

On the other hand there is a provision specifying the number of students to be maintained and it is possible that the income of the trust may not be sufficient for the maintenance of the full number specified.

I shall be very grateful if you will kindly consider this point and assist me as to the advice to be tendered to His Highness the Maharaja with reference to the request for an interview which,

as you will see from the letter which I enclose, is for the purpos
of placing his point of view side by side with that of the Govern-
ment.

Yours sincerely,

memdum Date 4th Septr. 193

40

No. 95
Links.

10th August 1933.

My dear Sir Charles,

I am sorry there has been some delay in replying to your D.O. No. 51 of the 1st instant regarding the representation made by Mr. Karnick Krishnamurthi Rao. If, as he states, there is likely to be no difficulty in the management of the landed property which he has endowed to the School established by him and also no fear of any litigation in which Government would be involved, I think his request might be complied with. It seems to me, therefore, that the case might be re-examined with this object. I have suggested this course in my note dated 8th August 1933 in the file which I am herewith sending for reference.

Yours sincerely,

S d M. M. K.

Draft

My dear Sir Charles,

I am in receipt of your demi-official letter No.51 of the 1st instant, regarding Mr. Karnik Krishnamurty Rao's request to Government to take over the management of the properties he proposes to endow to his Vaidika Dharma Patasala. I write to say in reply that the difficulties which prevented the acceptance of his earlier requests operate to a great extent in regard to his present request also, so far as the endowment properties are concerned. The present request doubtless comes within the scope of Section 14 (a) of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation, 1927; but generally the policy of Government is not to take over the control of such institutions so long as they are being properly managed. Besides, it does not seem advisable to undertake the management of Inam Villages with their attendant difficulties of collection and, perhaps, prospective litigation with the ryots etc. Mr. Karnik Krishnamurty Rao states that he has settled these difficulties but it is not easy to say how far this anticipation can be depended upon.

The observation in the file that there is no precedent for the management by

by Government of private trusts constitutes one of the reasons, - and not the sole reason - for rejecting the request. The expression, "Private Trusts" refers to the same class of institutions dealt with in Chapter III of the Regulation viz., Non-Muzrai Institutions. As stated already, instances of such private or non-Muzrai institutions being taken over under Government management are rare unless, of course, there are allegations of mismanagement etc. bringing the case under Sections 17 and 18 of the Regulation. The policy of Government is to encourage the development of such institutions ~~to develop~~ under the management of private donors.

Moreover, as pointed out by you, while the expenditure for the charities is more or less fixed, the income for meeting the expenditure is uncertain and may not be sufficient for the maintenance of the full charities as specified.

It is in view of the considerations set forth above that Government advised Mr. Karnik Krishnamurti Rao in April 1930 to appoint trustees for the disposal of his properties and for utilizing the proceeds in the manner desired by him; and the basis for that decision seems applicable to his present request also.

Yours sincerely,

orandum FIN... Date 4th Sept

No. 213/Mis-35-32-9 dt 16.8.33 43

From the Financial Secretary to Government

To

The Inspector General of Registration & Commissioner of Stamps, Bangalore

Sir,

In forwarding herewith petition dated 18th May 1933 - - received from Mr. Karnik Krishnamurthy Rao, requesting Government to take over the management of the properties he proposes to endow to his Vaidika Dharma Patasala at Basavangudi, Bangalore City, - am - - directed to state that Government consider it desirable to examine it with a view to see if it is possible to comply with his request.

The properties he proposes to endow consist of two inam villages and some Vrittis in another village in the Nagamangala Taluk, a house in Bangalore. It is understood that there have been - - - differences between Mr. Krishnamurthy Rao and his tenants, but the former alleges that all these difficulties have been solved.

Before Government can take any decisive action in the matter, they consider it necessary to know whether the position represented by Mr. Krishnamurthy Rao in respect of the differences between him and his tenants is correct, whether there is no likelihood of Government being involved in litigation by accepting - - responsibility for the management of the said properties and whether Mr. Krishnamurthy Rao has full rights to make a gift of them.

I am accordingly to request that immediate enquiry may

may'be made to examine the above points fully and submit a detailed report very early.

I am to add that the officers entrusted with the enquiry may be instructed to treat the matter as IMMEDIATE.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- A.N. Raghavachar,

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Memo No. 214/Mur Bangalore, dated the 16th August 1933.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, for information and necessary action.

By Order,

For Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

TIP.3

GENL. & REV. SEC 71 6 SEP. 1933

norandum

Date 4th Septr 193 3.

To

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Immediate

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .... No.

To

The Mc

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Sir,

Date of issue .... I forward herewith petn. dt. 18.8.33 ~~in connection with the request of~~ Mr. Kanik Krishnamurthy Rao for to take over the management of the properties he proposes to endow to his Vaidika Dharma Pathasala at Basavanapadi, Bangalore City, I am directed to state that Govt. consider it desirable to ~~further~~ examine it with a view to see if it is possible to comply with his request.

Signed by .....

List of enclosures ....

The properties he proposes to endow consist of two in one Village and some Vrittis in another Village in the

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Magadi Taluk & a house in Bangalore. It is understood that there have been differences between Mr. Krishnamurty Rao & his tenants, but the former alleges that all these difficulties have been solved.

Before Govt. can take any decisive action in the matter, they consider it necessary to know whether the position ~~in regard to this matter~~ as represented by Mr. Krishnamurty Rao in respect of the differences between him & his tenants is correct, & whether there is no likelihood of Govt being involved in litigation by accepting responsibility for the management of the ~~villages & the titles~~ said properties & whether Mr Krishnamurty Rao has full rights to make a gift of them. ~~of his properties.~~

I am accordingly to request that immediate arrangements may be made that ~~the above point may be~~ to examine the above points fully ~~arranged & the above points~~ & submit a detailed report. ~~The very early.~~

2nd I am to add that the Officer entrusted with the Enquiry may be instructed to treat the matter as immediate.

I have &
A.D. 16.8.07
H Lee

1618

Copy to the Revd. Commr. for information & necessary action.

Memorandum

Date 4th Septr. 193 3.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT 27 6 SEP. 1933 MUZRAI.

To

4 SEP 1933 No

The Secretary,

BANGALORE CITY CO-OPERATIVE BANK, Ltd.,
Chamarajpet, BANGALORE CITY.

To the Government Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

35-22-10

Sir,

Mr.Karnick Krishnamurthy Rao, Zamindar, Basavanagudi, Bangalore City has offered his houses Nos.4 & 5 in I Cross Road, Basavanagudi, Bangalore City as security for a mortgage loan in this Bank. As we are given to understand that he has endowed a trust for the maintenance of the Vydica Dharma Pathasala, Basavanagudi, including in the ~~list~~ former Nos.4 & 5. We shall be highly thankful to you if you will kindly let us know whether any such trust has been created and if so, whether the aforesaid properties are included therein.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Secretary
D 4/9/33

BJECT:.....

.....

.....

Section Mis

File No. 35 - 32

Serial No. 11

y No. of letter replied to .....

No. 354 Secret

To

The Munsif Courts in Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

of issue 16-9-33

ed by B.N. Krishnaswamy Ayy

Sir,

In continuation to this office letter No 213/Mun 35-32.9 dated the 16th August 1933 in regard to the proposal of Mr Kasturi Krishnamurthy Rao, that Govt may take over the management of his properties he proposes to endow to his Vaidika Dharma pathasala at Basavanagudi Bangalore city, I am directed to forward herewith for information a copy of letter No 3521 dated 4.9.1933 from the Secy of the Bolur city Cooperative Bank Ltd Chamarajapet Bangalore city for information and ~~necessary~~ action.

I have etc

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

2-11-33

WD 0253—GPB—15,000—26-8-32

for Secy

G.R.S. 31]

No. 354 Eng 19
Mcg 35-32-11

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, ~~Genl. and Rev. Dept.~~
Financial Department, Bangalore.

To

The Huzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

, the 13th September ~~190~~ 1933.
16th

Genl. and Rev.
Secretariat.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for information a copy of letter No.3521 dated 4th September 1933, from the Secretary of the Bangalore City Co-operative Bank, Ltd., Chamarajapet, Bangalore City in continuation of this Office letter No.213/Huz.35-32-9 dated the 16th August 1933, in regard to the prayer of Mr. Varnick Krishnamurthy Rao, that Government may take over the management of the properties he proposes to endow to his Vaidika Dharma Pathasala of Basavangudi, Bangalore City.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G.P.B.--5,000--3-5-06

for Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

K.H.P.2/13-9.

Irrigation.

M C. 13]

No. 308/33-34
Genl File

GENL. REVS. 626 28. NOV. 1933 MUZRAI

50

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 24th November *193* 3.

75

FROM N. Madhava Rau, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To Muzrai 35-32-1

S. Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
Financial *Department.*

25/11/33

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.213/Muz.35-32-9 dated 16th August '33 re: the management of the properties proposed to be endowed to the Karnick's Vaidika Dharma Patasala, Bangalore City, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of the marginally noted

D.O. No.C3-2914/31-32 dated 3-10-1933.) communication received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, giving cover to the report of the Sub Division Officer, Closepet, stating that Jodi Sadamanahalli and Mallenahalli are in possession and enjoyment of Mr. Krishnamurti Rau and have been leased by him for five years, that out of 15 vrittis of Kalkere village 14½ are owned by Mr. Krishnamurti Rau and that 50 out of 55 ryots have executed lease deeds in his favour and opining that Mr. Krishnamurti Rau's proposal in respect of the undisputed portions of this village together with the other properties offered by him may be accepted.

E

2. In his statement dated 21st October '33 given before the Amildar, Mr. Krishnamurti Rau has stated that none of the properties including the bungalow in Basavangudi Extension has in any way been encumbered, and he has subsequently produced Encumbrance Certificates obtained from the Magadi and Bangalore City Sub Registry Offices. From the above it may be concluded that Mr. Krishnamurti Rau has full rights to make a gift of the properties and that the acceptance of the responsibility for the management of the said properties is not likely to involve Government in litigation.

3. In a petition presented to me on the 20th instant, Mr. Kri Rau requests, among other matters, that pending final orders the Deputy Commissioner may be requested to take charge of the estate temporarily and to collect the current year's rent both in cash and kind as the ryots will dispose of their harvest otherwise and it will afterwards be difficult to collect the dues. Mr. Krishnamurti Rau is being informed that it is not possible to anticipate the orders of Government in the matter and that he must make his own arrangements for the collection of rent.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Krishnamurti Rau

Muzrai Commissioner.

mk

D.O. No.C3-2914/31-32 dated 3-10-33 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to Mr. T. Ramaiya's D.O. letter No.308/33-34 dated 17th August 1933 forwarding copy of letter No.213/Muz.35-32-9 dated 16-8-33 from the Financial Secretary to Government enquiring whether Mr. Karnick Krishnamurti Rau has got full rights to make a gift of the several immoveable properties proposed to be endowed to the Vaidika Dharma Patasala at Basavangudi by him, etc., I write to state that the Sub Division Officer, Closepet Sub Division, was asked to make personal enquiries and submit his report. (His report is enclosed herewith in original). It is seen therefrom that except Jodi Kalkere Village the other two Villages, viz, Jodi Sadamanahalli and Jodi Mallenahalli villages are in his enjoyment in full and that he has leased out the lands to ryots under duly registered lease deeds of 5 years' duration. As regards Kalkere village, Mr. Karnick Krishnamurti Rau is reported to own 14½ vritties out of 15 that the village consists of. The other ½ vritti is owned by one Mathikere Subbaiya. There are 55 ryots in the village of whom 50 have executed lease deeds in favour of Mr. ~~Krmxx~~ Karnick Krishnamurti Rau and the remaining people refused to accept their position as tenants at will and claimed Kadim tenancy rights over the lands in their possession though they could not substantiate their contention by documentary evidence. The enquiries made by the Sub Division Officer show that the Jodidar has full rights in the village. Now that the village has been surveyed it may be possible to determine the lands im which kadim tenancy is claimed and accept Mr. Karnick Krishnamurti's proposal only in respect of the undisputed portions of the village for the present

2. The connected papers are also enclosed herewith for your reference.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

ORDER NO. 1123-27/Muz 35-32-13

Dated, Bangalore, 21st Febry. 1934

In view of the above report of the Muzrai Commissioner and the fact that the objects of the endowment proposed by Mr. Karnick Krishnamurti Rao are educational, Government are pleased to accept the endowment offered by Mr. Karnick Krishnamurti Rao for the maintenance of the Vaidika Dharma Patasala started by him in Basavangudi, Bangalore City, provided the transfer of the properties is unconditional, and direct, that the management of the undisputed portion of the Kalkere Village and the other properties referred to above, be taken over by Government under Section 14(a) of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation, 1927.

The Muzrai Commissioner will take action accordingly.

Financial Secretary to Govt

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, with records,
The Comptroller to Government,
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Dt.
~~The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur Dt.~~
The Superintendent, Revenue Survey & Settlements in Mysore,

Copy to Mr. Karnick Krishnamurti Rao.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read.-

Representation dated 18th February 1933 from Mr. Karnik Krishnamurthi Rao, Zamindar, requesting that the management of the marginally noted properties which he has endowed for the maintenance of the "Karnik's Vaidika Dharma Satsala" in Basavangudi, Bangalore City, may be taken over by Government.

1. Bungalow bearing Municipal Nos. 6 and 7, 1st Cross Road, Basavangudi, Bangalore City.
2. Jodi Villages of Sadamanahalli and Kallenahalli in Magadi Taluk; &
3. 15 Vrittis in Kalkere village, Magadi Taluk

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 308 of 1933-34 dated the 25th November 1933 from the Deputy Commissioner in Mysore, stating that Jodi Sadamanahalli and Kallenahalli are in possession and enjoyment of Mr. Karnik Krishnamurthi Rao, and have been leased by him for five years, that out of 15 Vrittis of Kalkere Village 14½ are owned by him, that 50 out of 55 ryots thereof, have executed lease deeds in his favour, that none of the properties including the bungalow in Basavangudi Extension has in any way been encumbered, and that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, is of opinion that Mr. Krishnamurthi Rao's proposal in respect of the unalienated portions of Kalkere Village for grant with the other properties offered by him, may be accepted.

Order No. 1123-27 / Misc 38-32-13

Dated, Bangalore, the 21st January 1934.

In view of the above report of the Deputy Commissioner the fact that the objects of the endowment proposed by Mr. Krishnamurthi Rao are educational, Government are pleased to accept the endowment offered by Mr. Krishnamurthi Rao for the maintenance of the Vaidika Dharma Satsala started by him in Bangalore City, provided the transfer of the [illegible]

and to direct, that the management of the undisputed portion of the Kalkere village and the other properties referred to above, be taken over by Government under section 14 (a) of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation 1927.

The Muzrai Commissioner will take action accordingly.

Financial Secretary to Government.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore with records.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
The Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlements in Mysore

C.R.C.11.